

# On the mass term of the Dirac equation

Maciej Trzetrzelewski \*

NORDITA,  
Roslagstullsbacken 23, 106 91 Stockholm,  
Sweden

Department of Mathematics,  
Royal Institute of Technology,  
KTH, 100 44 Stockholm,  
Sweden

## Abstract

We consider the generalization of the Dirac equation where the mass term is an arbitrary matrix  $M$ . A general form of  $M$ , consistent with the mass shell constraint, is derived and proven to be equivalent to the original Dirac equation.

## 1 Introduction

The original way [1] in which Dirac obtained relativistic equation for fermions seems to leave certain ambiguities related to the choice of the mass term. This led some authors [2] to discuss the possibility of generalizing the term by considering certain mass matrices  $M$  instead of the usual matrix  $m\mathbf{1}$ . We would like to point out that consistency conditions actually imply that  $M$  must be given by  $M = me^{(i\alpha - \beta)\gamma^5}$  with  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , of which the cases  $\beta = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$  were discussed in [2]. The mass term  $M$  can be obtained from the Dirac

---

\*e-mail: maciej.trzetrzelewski@gmail.com

equation by an appropriate change of the phases and the norms of the Weyl spinors.

## 2 General mass term

Consider a non hermitian  $x^\mu$  dependent matrix  $M$  and assume that the corresponding Dirac equations  $D_M\psi = 0$ ,  $D_M = -i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + M$  holds. For an arbitrary operator  $\mathcal{D}$  the consistency conditions  $\mathcal{D}D_M\psi = 0$  have to be satisfied. Due to the mass shell constraint  $p_\mu p^\mu = m^2$ ,  $p_\mu = -i\partial_\mu$ , useful conditions will come from operators  $\mathcal{D}$  which involve the  $i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu$  operator. Let us consider

$$0 = D_{-M}D_M\psi = (m^2 - M^2 - i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu M)\psi - i[\gamma^\mu, M]\partial_\mu\psi \quad (1)$$

(we use the conventions  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ ,  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{1}$ ,  $\gamma^5 = +i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ ). One can also consider other equations e.g.

$$0 = D_{-M^\dagger}D_M\psi = D_MD_M\psi = D_{M^\dagger}D_M\psi = D_0D_M\psi \quad (2)$$

however as it turns out they do not give new constraints.

If  $M$  is equal to  $m\mathbf{1}$ , equation (1) is trivially satisfied (equations in (2) are either trivial or give the Dirac equation  $D_m\psi = 0$ ). For general  $M$  we obtain some nontrivial, first order, differential equations for  $\psi$ . These equations must reduce to the Dirac equation  $D_M\psi = 0$  - otherwise we would obtain an independent equation for fermions. Concentrating on Eqn. (1) we conclude that

$$[\gamma^\mu, M] = A\gamma^\mu, \quad (3)$$

$$m^2 - M^2 - i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu M = AM \quad (4)$$

for some matrix  $A$ .

In order to solve (3) and (4) it is useful to multiply Eqn. (3) from the r.h.s. by  $\gamma^\mu$  (no sum) which in particular implies the following equations

$$\gamma^i M \gamma^i - \gamma^j M \gamma^j = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3.$$

Using explicit representation for gamma matrices we find that the general solution of the latter is

$$M = a(x) + b(x)\gamma^5, \quad a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{C} \quad (5)$$

which using (3) gives  $A = -2b(x)\gamma^5$  hence (4) gives

$$m^2 = a(x)^2 - b(x)^2 + \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (a(x) + b(x)\gamma^5). \quad (6)$$

The r.h.s. in (6) should be proportional to the unit matrix hence  $\partial_\mu a = \partial_\mu b = 0$ . Therefore the general solution of (3) and (4) and hence of the constraint (1) is given by

$$M = a + b\gamma^5, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$m^2 = a^2 - b^2. \quad (7)$$

It turns out that (7) also solves other constraint (2). Let us consider the first equation in (2)

$$0 = D_{-M^\dagger} D_M \psi = (m^2 - M^\dagger M - i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu M) \psi - i(\gamma^\mu M - M^\dagger \gamma^\mu) \partial_\mu \psi. \quad (8)$$

The equations following from (8) are

$$\gamma^\mu M - M^\dagger \gamma^\mu = B \gamma^\mu, \quad (9)$$

$$m^2 - M^\dagger M = BM \quad (10)$$

for some matrix  $B$ . Substituting (7) to (9) we find that

$$B = 2i|a|\sin\alpha - 2|b|\cos\beta\gamma^5, \quad \alpha := \text{Arg}(a), \quad \beta := \text{Arg}(b)$$

which substituted to (10) gives two equations

$$m^2 - |a|^2 - |b|^2 = 2ia|a|\sin\alpha - 2b|b|\cos\beta, \quad (11)$$

$$-2|a||b|\cos(\alpha - \beta) = 2i|a|b\sin\alpha - 2a|b|\cos\beta. \quad (12)$$

Equation (11) is actually equivalent to the second equation in (7) while (12) is an identity hence (8) gives no new constraints on  $a$  and  $b$ . The same conclusion holds for the remaining equations in (2).

Using the parametrization for the complex circle in (7)

$$a = m(\cos\alpha \cosh\beta - i\sin\alpha \sinh\beta),$$

$$b = mi(\sin\alpha \cosh\beta + i\cos\alpha \sinh\beta)$$

with  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  we can write  $M$  in the compact form

$$M = me^{(i\alpha - \beta)\gamma^5}. \quad (13)$$

Finally let us observe that this form of  $M$  can be obtained from the Dirac equation with  $M = m\mathbf{1}$ . Noting that in the Weyl representation we have

$$\begin{aligned} i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_L &= m e^{-i\alpha+\beta} \psi_R, \\ i\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_R &= m e^{i\alpha-\beta} \psi_L \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi_R, \psi_L$  are Weyl spinors and choosing  $\tilde{\psi}_L = e^{\frac{i\alpha-\beta}{2}} \psi_L$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}_R = e^{-\frac{i\alpha-\beta}{2}} \psi_R$  (which could be interpreted as the chiral transformation with the complex angle) the Dirac equation for Weyl spinors  $\tilde{\psi}_R, \tilde{\psi}_L$  transforms into the standard form with  $M = m$ .

It is interesting to note that choosing  $M$  not belonging to (13) breaks in general the explicit relativistic invariance of equations. As an example let us consider  $M = m\gamma_0$ . The condition (1) implies that  $i\gamma^j \partial_j \psi = 0$  and hence  $(i\partial_0 - m)\psi = 0$  which clearly is not Lorentz invariant. This example is particularly interesting (among other choices e.g.  $M = \gamma^i$ ) as there are no negative energy solutions for this choice i.e. the plane-wave ansatz  $\psi = u e^{-ikx}$  for positive energy solutions and  $\psi = v e^{ikx}$  for negative energy solutions, implies  $k_i = 0$ ,  $k_0 = m$  for four basis spinors  $[u_s]_t = \delta_{st}$ ,  $s, t = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and no solutions for the  $v$  spinor.

### 3 Conclusions

In this paper we considered generalizations of the Dirac equation where the mass term is replaced by an arbitrary matrix  $M$ . It follows that a simple consistency condition (1) implies that  $M$  must be of the form (13) which in turn can be obtained from the original Dirac equation by a suitable redefinition of the wavefunction. Therefore the choice  $M = m\mathbf{1}$  is already general.

### References

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Quantum Theory of the Electron*, Proc. of the Royal Society, Series A, Vol. 117, No. 778 (1928), pp. 610-624.
- [2] D. Leitner, G. Szamosi, *Pseudoscalar Mass and Its Relationship to Conventional Scalar Mass of the Relativistic Dirac Theory of the Electron*, Lettere al Nuovo Cimento, vol. 5, No. 12, (1972), 814-816.